Liquid-Liquid Two-Phase Flow Patterns in a Rectangular Microchannel
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In this work, the flow of immiscible fluids in a PMMA microchannel 300 μm wide and 600 μm deep was investigated experimentally. Dyed de-ionized water and kerosene were selected as the test fluids. Flow patterns were observed by using a CCD camera and were identified by examining the video images. Flow patterns obtained at the T-junction and in the microchannel are presented. Superficial velocities varied between 9.26 × 10⁻⁵ to 1.85 m/s for water and 9.26 × 10⁻⁵ to 2.78 m/s for kerosene. The formation mechanism of slug, monodispersed droplet and droplet populations at the T-junction was studied. Weber numbers of water and kerosene, Weₖₛ and Weₖₖ, were used to predict the flow regime transition and the flow patterns map. The experimental data of volume of dispersed phase were successfully correlated as a function of Weₖₛ, Weₖₖ, and hold-up fraction. Considering the uncertainty associated with experimental quantification of the process, the results are in satisfactory agreement over the wide range of 1.90 × 10⁻³ < Weₖₖ < 30.43 and 5.90 × 10⁻⁶ < Weₖₛ < 0.13 with average absolute deviation of only 16.18%. © 2006 American Institute of Chemical Engineers AIChE J, 52: 4052–4060, 2006
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Introduction

Within the last decade, microchannel reactors have become a new and promising technology in chemistry, chemical engineering, and biotechnology.¹–⁴ Processes based on multiphase reactions in microchemical systems, especially liquid-liquid two-phase reactions, occur in a broad range of application areas, such as nitration,⁵ extraction,⁶ emulsification,⁷ and so forth, and can form the basis for manufacture of a large variety of intermediate and consumer end-products. In spite of its technological importance, the details of the transfer processes for liquid-liquid two phases in microsystems are not very well understood, especially those involving heterogeneous reactions where mass transfer and chemical reaction compete between themselves in a way. In fact, it was shown that scaling down the typical length scale could greatly increase mass and heat transfer efficiency and minimize amount of fluids, thus eventually resulting in shorter reaction times and reducing the cost of research and development. For the optimization of the design and the operation of two-phase flow systems, especially mass transfer, chemical reaction, and pressure drop in the microchannel, there was a need for researchers to predict accurately the existing flow patterns. A great number of studies have been carried out to understand gas-liquid two-phase flow regimes in the microchannels⁸–¹²; however, not much was known regarding the simultaneous
flow of two immiscible liquids.11 There was no guarantee that
the information available for gas-liquid cases could be extrap-
olated to liquid-liquid flows, and little attention had even
been paid to the immiscible liquid-liquid two-phase flow pat-
tterns in microchannels.

Early studies of the immiscible liquid-liquid two-phase
flow patterns predominantly consisted of flow in circular
tubes with diameter $D_H > 10$ mm. Nadler and Mewes,14
Brauner and Maron,15 and Angeli and Hewitt16 experimental-
lly investigated oil-water two-phase flow in a horizontal
straight pipe and clarified flow regimes as stratified, stratified-
dispersed, annular, slug, dispersed, and so forth. Although
some flow regimes associated with liquid-liquid two-phase flow
in large pipes, such as slug,17 also occurred in microchannels,
some important differences existed between flow patterns in
the two kinds of systems.

Recently, the flow of immiscible fluids in microchannels
has attracted significant attention. The interest on flow pat-
terns arose because the microfluidic technology could offer
new prospects for emulsion science18; on the other hand, the
progress of microchemical engineering required us to know
the flow patterns in microchannels.1 If the two fluids are mis-
cible, parallel streams are able to flow side by side at low
Reynolds number and diffuse freely from one stream to the
other in microchannels. If the two fluids are immiscible flu-
ids, the interfacial tension dominates on the effect of the dy-
namics of the free surface.19 Shearing by interfacial tension
is advantageous to a micrometer scale because the effects of
interfacial tension are significantly greater than gravitational
force; this can be proved by the following dimensionless
number, Bond number:

$$Bo = \frac{\text{Gravitational force}}{\text{Interfacial tension}} = \frac{\rho g D_H^2}{\sigma}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Obviously, the interfacial tension plays an important role in
microfluidic flows when the characteristic length is small.
With these ideas in mind, Hudson et al.20,21 developed a
microfluidic approach to rapidly measure interfacial tension
$\sigma$ of immiscible fluids. Thorsen et al.22 demonstrated that
microfluidic devices could be used to create controllable
droplet emulsions in immiscible fluids, by injection of water
into a stream of oil at a T-junction. Guillot and Colín23 had
determined the stability of parallel flows in a microchannel
after a T-junction with confocal fluorescence microscopy and
identified three typical flow patterns, namely, droplets formed
at the T-junction, parallel flows, and parallel flows that break
into droplets inside the channel. On the other hand, the liq-
uid-liquid slug flow capillary microreactor had been shown
to be a useful technique for the elucidation and enhancement
of reaction rate, which was limited by heat and mass trans-
fer.17,24,25

The objective of this article is to experimentally study the
immiscible liquid-liquid two-phase flow patterns in T-junc-
tion rectangular microchannels with a hydraulic diameter of
0.4 mm. Dyed de-ionized water and kerosene are used as the
working fluids. Flow patterns are observed by using a CCD
camera and are identified by examining the video images.

### Experimental

A schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus is
shown in Figure 1. The working fluids are kerosene and de-
ionized water with minute amounts of methylene blue dis-
solved in it for better visualization of the flow phenomena.
The de-ionized water is first boiled in a beaker to remove the
dissolved gases and fully degassed. Water and kerosene are
forced to flow through the horizontal rectangular microchan-
nel by high precision piston pumps (Beijing Satellite Manu-
factoring Factory). The physical properties of water and kero-
sene are listed in Table 1.

Our microchannel was fabricated in the PMMA plate using
micromachining technology, and its surface was modified by
sodium hydroxide for increasing hydrophilic property. The
cross section is $300 \mu m \times 600 \mu m$, and its length is 60 mm.
This results in hydraulic diameter $D_H$:

$$D_H = \frac{4A}{2H + 2W} = 400 \mu m$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The microdevice has two inlet arms that meet at a T-junc-
tion. The flow patterns are observed at the T-junction and in
the main channel after the T-junction. The essential features
of the micro T-microchannel are shown in Figure 2.

The 3-D profile of the channel shown in Figure 2b is
examined by using the Super Depth Surface Profile Measure-
ment Microscope (VK-8550). The maximum and minimum
values for depth and width are about 308 $\mu m$ and 295 $\mu m$,
and 598 $\mu m$ and 602 $\mu m$, respectively.

The flow patterns are identified using a digital video cam-
era that is connected to a personal computer and provides
snapshots. A high-speed camera system (UC-610CL) with re-
cording speed of 61 frames per second and shutter speed of

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram of experimental setup. A: pump; B: check valve; C: T-shaped micro-
channel; D: tank; E: CCD camera.](Image)

The information available for gas-liquid cases could be extrap-
olated to liquid-liquid flows, and little attention had even
been paid to the immiscible liquid-liquid two-phase flow pat-
tterns in microchannels.

### Table 1. Physical Properties of Water and Kerosene
(at 293K and atmospheric pressure)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluid</th>
<th>Density ($kg/m^3$)</th>
<th>Viscosity ($\mu s$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>998.2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>0.00115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interfacial tension $\sigma$ 0.045 N/m.
1/110 ~ 1/110,000 sec was mounted together with a microscope. To observe distinctly the structure of the liquid-liquid flow patterns inside the microchannel, the extension tube is used to regulate the magnified multiple of the video camera. The light beam used for the visualization is provided through an adjustable light source under the test section.

It has been confirmed that liquid-liquid two-phase flow structures in microchannels are more seriously affected by the wettability between the wall and the fluids. So, for obtaining the oil droplets in the microchannel, we must make surface modifications to increase the surface wettability of the PMMA chip. The surface modification procedure was as follows. First, the test PMMA chip was cleaned with a soft brush, then it was washed in de-ionized water and pure ethanol for 2~3 min by ultrasonic vibration. Second, the chip was blown by filtered air and placed in sodium hydroxide (10 wt%) at 80°C for 2~3 h, then was washed in de-ionized water for 2~3 min. Finally, the test microchannel PMMA chip was blown by filtered air and installed to the experimental apparatus for measurements.

**Results and Discussion**

All experiments in the rectangular microchannel are conducted at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The kerosene and water superficial velocity can be calculated by the following equations:

\[ U_{KS} = \frac{Q_K}{A} \]  
\[ U_{WS} = \frac{Q_W}{A} \]

In our experiments, the ranges of water and kerosene superficial velocities are \(9.26 \times 10^{-4} \sim 1.85\) m/s and \(9.26 \times 10^{-4} \sim 2.78\) m/s, respectively. All runs were carried out at the constant ratio of water and kerosene by increasing the volumetric flux of kerosene and water simultaneously. Every run must be repeated no less than twice to ensure the reproducibility of snapshots.

**Flow patterns at the T-junction**

In this section, a comprehensive study of immiscible fluids flow and the formation processes of flow patterns at the T-junction were performed. For illustrating the formation processes of flow patterns at the T-junction, the shooting points were chosen elaborately and shown in Figure 2a. In our experiments, using water and kerosene as work fluids, depending on the flow rates applied to each liquid inlet stream, six distinct flow patterns were observed based on visual observation of a video tape replay (61frames/s) and are shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 2. (a) Schematic of the T-junction rectangular microchannel; (b) 3-D profile of the microchannel.](Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.)

![Figure 3. Flow patterns at the T-junction. (a) Oil slugs formed at the T-junction (ST), q = 20, \(W_eKS = 5.94 \times 10^{-6}\), \(W_eWS = 3.04 \times 10^{-3}\); (b) Mono-dispersed droplets formed at the T-junction (MDT), q = 20, \(W_eKS = 5.94 \times 10^{-4}\), \(W_eWS = 3.04 \times 10^{-1}\); (c) Drop populations formed in the center of the microchannel (DPM), q = 40, \(W_eKS = 1.34 \times 10^{-1}\), \(W_eWS = 2.74\); (d) Parallel flows that have smooth interface formed at the T-junction (PFST), q = 1, \(W_eKS = 5.35 \times 10^{-1}\), \(W_eWS = 6.85 \times 10^{-1}\); (e) Parallel flows that have wavy interface formed at the T-junction (PFWT), q = 1, \(W_eKS = 5.94\), \(W_eWS = 7.61\); (f) Chaotic thin striations flow formed at the T-junction (CTST), q = 0.5, \(W_eKS = 53.50\), \(W_eWS = 17.12\).](Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.)
Surface effects become dominant due to the small size of microsystem devices. In fact, interfacial tension and inertial force are competing stresses that could distort the interface in this particular device. The interfacial tension tends to reduce the interfacial area, while inertia force is inclined to extend and drag the interface downstream. In order to determine the relative importance of inertia force and interfacial tension for the formation of flow patterns, the Weber (We) number is calculated. The Weber number yields the ratio of inertia force to interfacial tension. Since the Weber number includes the phase velocity term, in the absence of actual phase velocity measurements, the Weber numbers of water and kerosene are calculated in terms of the superficial velocities, as follows:

\[ \text{We}_{\text{WS}} = \frac{D_H \cdot U_{\text{WS}} \cdot \rho_w}{\sigma} \]  
\[ \text{We}_{\text{KS}} = \frac{D_H \cdot U_{\text{KS}} \cdot \rho_k}{\sigma} \]

Within the range of flow rates and viscosity in our experiments, the Weber number covers a wide range of values, \(5.94 \times 10^{-6} < \text{We}_{\text{KS}} < 53.5\) and \(7.61 \times 10^{-6} < \text{We}_{\text{WS}} < 30.43\), and suggests a crossover from regimes dominated by interfacial tension to those dominated by inertia force.

Figure 3 shows that slug flow at the T-junction (ST) will appear when \(7.61 \times 10^{-6} < \text{We}_{\text{WS}} < 4.87 \times 10^{-2}\) and \(5.94 \times 10^{-6} < \text{We}_{\text{KS}} < 5.94 \times 10^{-6}\); in fact, these values suggest that interfacial tension dominates compared to inertial force, where \(q\) denotes the volumetric flux ratio \((Q_w/Q_k)\). For given fixed oil flow rate \(Q_k\), an increase in the rate of water results in the formation of monodispersed droplet (MDT). That is, under the constant value of \(\text{We}_{\text{KS}}\), monodispersed droplets at the T-junction (MDT) begin to be formed with increasing \(\text{We}_{\text{WS}}\), in the region of \(7.6 \times 10^{-2} < \text{We}_{\text{WS}} < 0.78\) and \(2.38 \times 10^{-3} < \text{We}_{\text{KS}} < 5.94 \times 10^{-4}\).

When \(3.04 \times 10^{-3} < \text{We}_{\text{WS}} < 3.73\) and \(2.38 \times 10^{-3} < \text{We}_{\text{KS}} < 1.49\), the parallel flow with smooth interface forms at the T-junction (PFST). As we increase the flow rates of water and oil, the \(\text{We}_{\text{WS}}\) and \(\text{We}_{\text{KS}}\) increase \((4.87 \times 10^{-2} < \text{We}_{\text{WS}} < 19.47\) and \(2.38 \times 10^{-1} < \text{We}_{\text{KS}} < 10.05\)), and the parallel flow with smooth interface turns to the parallel flow with wavy interface (PFWT), that is, the vortices are formed at the interface of two phases. Although the flow patterns that formed at the T-junction are different, the flow patterns may be developed into the same at the downstream due to the undeveloped flow at the T-junction. The two fluids collide at the T-junction and the vortices can be formed at the interface of two phases, but the energy of these vortices can be consumed in the downstream, and eventually results in vanishing of these vortices.

When \(1.07 < \text{We}_{\text{WS}} < 30.43\) and \(3.8 \times 10^{-4} < \text{We}_{\text{KS}} < 2.38 \times 10^{-1}\), the oil phase penetrates into the water phase as a jet, and droplet populations (DPM) are formed in the center of the microchannel. In this flow pattern, the water inertial effects dominate the system against the interfacial tension. This phenomenon is consistent with the classical Rayleigh-Plateau instability. The stream of kerosene flows between streams of water and is geometrically focused into a narrow cylindrical jet in the center of the microchannel at the T-junction; the jet is destabilized by the Rayleigh-Plateau instability and forms droplet populations.

When \(0.17 < \text{We}_{\text{WS}} < 30.43\) and \(4.29 < \text{We}_{\text{KS}} < 53.5\), the chaotic thin striations flow are formed at the T-junction (CTST). In fact, this flow evolves eventually to annular flow at the downstream. The flow tends to instability because engulfment flow occurs at the T-junction under the conditions of increasing of flow rates of water and oil.

Figure 4 shows the formation mechanism of slug (oil) flow at the T-junction (ST). These oil slugs are formed by interfacial instability taking place at the T-junction. The formation of oil slugs relaxes the stress by decreasing the interfacial area. The oil and water phases form an interface at the T-junction. The pressure drop along the axis of the microchannel forces the tip of the oil stream into downstream. The tip advances in the microchannel by expanding in size and stops growing when it hits the channel walls. The oil acts as a plug blocking the water. This produces the formation of oil slugs, and the volume of the oil slugs decreases by increasing the superficial velocities of water and kerosene. Under our experimental conditions \((H < W)\), it leads to the bulleted cylindrical slice-like oil slugs being then in contact with the top and bottom walls.

For a given fixed oil flow rate \(Q_k\), an increase in the rate of the water results in the formation of monodispersed droplet (MDT). Figure 5 shows the process of the formation of monodispersed droplets. In this flow pattern, these low values of \(\text{We}\) confirm that interfacial tension overwhelms inertia force. But the values of \(\text{We}_{\text{WS}}\) show that the water phase inertial effects begin to play a significant role in forming monodispersed droplets. Due to \(H < W\), monodispersed droplets are squeezed between the top and bottom wall, and have a disc-like geometry.

**Flow patterns map at the T-junction**

Figure 6 shows a flow pattern map obtained for kerosene-water two-phase flow at the T-junction. The ordinate and abscissa were the Weber numbers of kerosene and water phase,
respectively. In liquid-liquid flow, some of the flow regimes were very similar to those encountered in gas-liquid flow. The Weber number transition model was suggested by Zhao and Rezkallah\textsuperscript{27} and later modified by Rezkallah\textsuperscript{28} and Akbar and coworkers\textsuperscript{29} to provide a dimensionless flow regime map based on the Weber number estimated from the liquid and gas velocities. Furthermore, they argued that the entire flow patterns map could be divided into three regions: the interfacial tension dominated zone where interfacial tension effect predominates inertia force, the inertia dominated zone where inertia force was significantly larger than the interfacial tension, and the transition zone where inertia force and interfacial tension were comparable. In accordance with the above discussion, we divided the flow patterns map into the three regions shown in Figure 6:

(a) Zone I: the interfacial tension dominated, including ST, MDT, and PFST, for \((W_{eKS} < 1) \cap (W_{eWS} < 1)\);
(b) Zone II: the inertia and interfacial tension were comparable, including PFWT and DPM, for \([W_{eKS} < 10] \cap (W_{eWS} < 10) \cap [(W_{eWS} > 1) \cup (W_{eKS} > 1)]\);  
(c) Zone III: the inertia dominated, including DPM and CTST, for \((W_{eKS} > 10) \cup (W_{eWS} > 10)\).

**Flow patterns in the microchannel**

For obtaining flow patterns in the fully developed flow in the microchannel, the condition of high \(L/D_H\) ratio must be satisfied for minimizing the entrance and exit effects. In our experiments, the distance from the shooting point to the entrance or exit was 30 mm, so the \(L/D_H\) ratio could reach 75. Unlike in large channels, the stratified flow could not be observed in our rectangular microchannel, and the parallel flow pattern became more prevalent. Figure 7 displays representative photographs of the identified fully developed flow patterns in the rectangular microchannel. In liquid-liquid flow in microchannels, some of the flow patterns are very similar to those encountered in gas-liquid flow, but there are some important differences, such as no parallel flow for gas-liquid and the shape of dispersed phase is more regular for liquid-liquid. Like the definition of gas-liquid two-phase flow patterns, the essential characteristics of these flow patterns could be described as follows.

**Slag flow (Figure 7a):** When the liquid-liquid two-phase Weber numbers are very low, the oil phase can form larger drops that its maximum length larger than microchannel width. From experimental observation it is quite clear that the slag flow is an entrance phenomenon rather than induced from the microchannel inside. This flow pattern often appears at large superficial flow ratio of water to oil and small total superficial flow rate, but a transition to parallel flow occurs as the water/oil ratio decreases. The surface tension force keeps the oil phase to a slug structure, and slag coalescence is also seldom observed in the present experiment. This flow pattern had been proved to be a useful flow regime for the elucidation and intensification of reaction processes limited by heat and mass transfer rate\textsuperscript{17,24,25}.

**Monodispersed droplets flow (Figure 7b):** The oil phase is dispersed, forming discrete drops in the continuous water phase. They have a disc-like geometry and the distance between two consecutive monodispersed droplets is much longer than the microchannel width. The occurrence of the monodispersed flow is also an entrance phenomenon.

**Droplet populations flow (Figure 7c):** In this pattern, a bunch of well-defined spherical droplets are formed. These droplets are produced by an interfacial instability and inertia of the water and kerosene in the microchannel. In this regime, the coalescence was not seen. The distance between two consecutive droplets is smaller than the microchannel...
width. The forming of the drop populations flow is not an entrance phenomenon but rather induced from the microchannel inside.

Parallel flow (Figure 7d): The flow pattern corresponds to fluids flowing continuously side by side in the rectangular microchannel and is a way to perform solvent extraction or purification, which is a key operation in analytical processing.30,31 The interface of the two phases can be smooth or wavy at the T-junction; however, it eventually evolves into a smooth interface in the fully developed flow. When the water/kerosene ratio is greater than unity, the flow pattern tended to parallel flow. An increase in the total superficial velocity leads to annular flow.

Annular flow (Figure 7e): The characteristic of annular flow is the continuity of the oil phase in the water phase. The water phase flows near the walls of the microchannel. This flow pattern often appears at large total superficial velocity and evolves from chaotic thin striations flow that is formed at the T-junction.

Flow patterns map in the microchannel

The overall immiscible liquid-liquid two-phase flow pattern map under the condition of fully developed flow is shown in Figure 8. Compared to the flow patterns at the T-junction, five well-defined patterns are only observed at the fully developed flow in the microchannel. Like the flow patterns map at the T-junction, we divide the flow patterns map into three regions, as follows:

(a) Zone I: interfacial tension dominated, including SF, MDF, and PF, for $(\text{We}_{\text{KS}} < 1) \cap (\text{We}_{\text{WS}} < 1)$;
(b) Zone II: inertia and interfacial tension are comparable, including PF and DPF, for $[(\text{We}_{\text{KS}} < 10) \cap (\text{We}_{\text{WS}} < 10)] \cap [(\text{We}_{\text{WS}} > 1) \cup (\text{We}_{\text{KS}} > 1)]$;
(c) Zone III: inertia dominated, including PF, DPF, and AF, for $(\text{We}_{\text{KS}} > 10) \cup (\text{We}_{\text{WS}} > 10)$.

Volume size of dispersed phase

In the chemical and food industries, liquid-liquid dispersions appear in processes, such as emulsification and two-phase reactions. The dispersed phase size will have implications for the heat and mass transfer rates and the design of downstream separation equipment. According to the above discussion, two factors determine the dispersed phase size in microchannels, inertia force and interfacial tension. So in the present work, we only are concerned with dispersed flow patterns, MDF, DPF, and SF. The volume of the oil phase (dispersed phase) is calculated from high-speed video pictures and plotted as a function of kerosene flow rate in a 300 μm × 600 μm microchannel, and the results are demonstrated in Figure 9.

As expected, the volume of the dispersed phase decreases in all cases with increase of total flow rate. Furthermore, for
a given kerosene flow rate, the volume of the dispersed phase decreases with increasing volumetric flux ratio \( q \). This means on the other hand that for applications where an oil phase flow rate is given, an aimed volume of dispersed phase can be achieved by choosing the appropriate volumetric flux ratio. In fact, the smaller volume of dispersed phase corresponds to higher energy input, that is, the effect of inertia force becomes important. According to the above analysis, the volume of dispersed phase can depend on interfacial tension, inertia force, and the volumetric fraction of the dispersed phase. All the experimental results are plotted in Figure 10. By using the multi-variable least squares methods, the equivalent \( R \) can be correlated to superficial Weber number and the hold-up fraction as Eq. 3:

\[
\frac{R}{D_H} = -0.1276 \ln \left( \frac{\text{We}_{WS} \cdot (1 - \varepsilon)}{[\text{We}_{KS} \cdot \varepsilon]^{0.15}} \right) + 0.5595 \tag{7}
\]

where \( R \) and \( \varepsilon \) can be written as:

\[
R = \sqrt{\frac{3V_d}{4\pi}} \times 10^{-3} \tag{8}
\]

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{Q_K}{Q_K + Q_W} \tag{9}
\]

The above correlation shows that the volume of the dispersed phase is more dependent on the water phase than the oil phase. The validity of using Eq. 7 to predict the experimental volume of the dispersed phase is shown in Figure 11. Absolute deviation is defined as:

\[
\delta = \frac{|(V_d)_{cal} - (V_d)_{exp}|}{(V_d)_{exp}} \times 100\% \tag{10}
\]

Considering the uncertainty associated with experimental quantification of the process, the results are in satisfactory agreement over the wide range of \( 1.90 \times 10^{-3} < \text{We}_{WS} < 30.43 \) and \( 5.90 \times 10^{-6} < \text{We}_{KS} < 0.13 \) with average absolute deviation of only 16.18%.

![Figure 9. Evolution of the dispersed phase volumes (V_d) as a function of the kerosene flow rate in a 300 \( \mu \)m \times 600 \( \mu \)m microchannel.](image)

![Figure 10. Effect of flow rate of the water phase on the volume of the dispersed phase.](image)

![Figure 11. Comparison of experimental and calculated, from Eq. 7, volume of the dispersed phase in a 300 \( \mu \)m \times 600 \( \mu \)m rectangular microchannel.](image)
Conclusions

Flow patterns and the process of formation mechanism of the dispersed phase with oil-water in a horizontal rectangular microchannel were studied in this article. The flow patterns map was correlated by interfacial tension and inertia force. The kerosene and water superficial velocities were varied in the $9.26 \times 10^{-4} \sim 2.78$ m/s and $9.26 \times 10^{-4} \sim 1.85$ m/s ranges, respectively.

Six distinct flow patterns can be identified at the T-junction: oil slugs formed at the T-junction, monodispersed droplets formed at the T-junction (MDT), droplet population formed in the center of the microchannel (DPM), parallel flows that have smooth interface at the T-junction (PFWT), parallel flows that have wavy interface at the T-junction (PFST), and chaotic thin striations flow formed at the T-junction (CTST). Three regions in the flow patterns map are divided into three zones, namely, interfacial tension dominated, inertial force and interfacial tension are comparable, and inertial force dominated. Five flow patterns are obtained in the microchannel, that is, monodispersed droplets flow, droplet population flow, slug flow, parallel flow, and annular flow. Liquid-liquid two-phase flow patterns transition maps at the T-junction and in the microchannel were constructed.

The volume of dispersed phase measurements is correlated to the superficial Weber number of water and kerosene, hold-up fraction. It is important to note that this study gives a contribution to the volume of dispersed phase quantification in liquid-liquid systems in T-shaped microchannels, which usually is not studied nor was possible to quantify in previous studies. This will serve as the basis for future mass transfer and reaction experimental work in multiphase microsystems.
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Notation

\begin{align*}
A & = \text{cross-sectional area of channel, } \text{m}^2 \\
D_h & = \text{hydraulic diameter of microchannel, } \text{m} \\
g & = \text{gravitational constant, } 9.81 \text{m/s}^2 \\
H & = \text{channel depth, } \text{m} \\
L & = \text{channel length, } \text{m} \\
q & = \frac{Q_W}{Q_K} = \text{volumetric flux ratio} \\
Q & = \text{volumetric flow rate, } \text{m}^3/\text{s} \\
R & = \text{equivalent radius, } \text{m} \\
U & = \text{superficial velocity, } \text{m/s} \\
V_d & = \text{the volume of the dispersed phase, } \text{mm}^3 \\
W & = \text{channel width, } \text{m} \\
Re & = \text{Reynolds number} \\
We & = \text{Weber number} \\
\end{align*}

Greek letters

\begin{align*}
\rho & = \text{mass density, } \text{kg/m}^3 \\
\sigma & = \text{interfacial tension, } \text{N/m} \\
\varepsilon & = \text{hold-up fraction} \\
\cap & = \text{intersection} \\
\cup & = \text{union} \\
\end{align*}

Subscripts

\begin{align*}
\text{cal} & = \text{calculated value} \\
\text{exp} & = \text{experimental value} \\
K & = \text{kerosene} \\
S & = \text{superficial} \\
W & = \text{water} \\
\end{align*}
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